## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)	ant(s)		
	10/565,912	KLATT, AXEL			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	ISAAK R. JAMA	2617			

	ISAAK R. JAMA	2617		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress	
HE REPLY FILED 24 June 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following i application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailling date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07()	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period city under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set for thin (b) above; if checked. Any reply received by the Office are may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropri- inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as	
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp.	iance with 37 CER 41 37 must be	filed within two month	of the date of	
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the		
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>				
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or			ne issues for	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.		
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	of Con attacked blatter of blan Co.		DTOL 204)	
= ··· - ··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).	
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all</li> </ol>		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the	
non-allowable claim(s).				
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:</li> </ol>		I be entered and an e	xplanation of	
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a	
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.	
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but See attached</li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:	
12.  Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). ( 13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).			
/LESTER KINCAID/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617	/ISAAK R JAMA/ Examiner, Art Unit 2617			